

Cirencester Kingshill School

Non-examination assessment policy

2023 - 2024

Policy/Procedure creator: Mrs J. Hitchcock

Policy/Procedure created/reviewed: 24/4/24 by Mrs P Clarke

Centre Name	Cirencester Kingshill School
Centre Number	57005
Date procedure first created	22/03/2023
Current procedure reviewed by	Mrs J. Hitchcock, Exams Officer
Current procedure approved by	Governing Body
Date of next review	16/10/2024

Key staff involved in the procedure

Role	Name/s
Head of centre	Mrs J. Lindley, Head of Centre
Senior leader(s)	Mr S. Pritchard, Deputy Head of Centre
Exams officer	Mrs P. Clarke
Other staff (if applicable)	Not Applicable

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments (NEA's) measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting
- task taking
- task marking (NEA, section 1)

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (NEA, Foreword).

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of Centre

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre Declaration' at the time of the NCN annual update to confirm
 awareness of and that relevant staff are adhering to the latest version of Instructions for
 Conducting non-examination assessments, confirming all reasonable steps have been or will be
 taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to
 undertake the (GCSE English Language) Spoke Language endorsement
- Ensures that the centre's **Non-Examination Assessment Policy** is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's **Internal Appeals Policy** clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internally assessed decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking
- To be familiar with JCQ instructions for conducting non-examination assessment
- Be responsible to relevant GCSE awarding bodies to ensure that all non-exam assessments are conducted according to qualification specifications.

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with JCQ and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Head of Department / Lead Internal Verifier

- Confirms with subject teachers that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non- examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates
- Is familiar with JCQ instructions for conducting non exam assessments
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers is received and understood
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.
- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- To liaise with the Exams Officer to ensure that confidential materials/tasks set by the awarding bodies are obtained in sufficient time to prepare for the assessment and to ensure materials are stored securely
- Liaise with the Exams Officer to ensure safe dispatch of the students assessments for moderation

Teacher

- To be familiar with JCQ instructions for conducting non-exam assessments
- To understand and comply with specific instructions relating to non –exam assessment for the relevant GCSE awarding body
- Mark internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body. Via the HOF, submit the marks on the awarding body's secure website or through the Exams Office, keeping a record of the marks awarded. (Where assessments are marked internally, teachers may disclose marks to candidates provided that it is made clear that the moderation process may result in changes to marks. They must NOT attempt to convert marks to grades in advance of the publication of results.)
- Ensures the Exams Officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects before the internal deadline for entries
- Supervise assessments (at the specified level of control)
- Ensure that students and supervising teacher(s) sign authentication forms on completion of an assessment
- Take part in appropriate departmental standardisation of Controlled Assessments.
- Retain candidates' work securely between assessment sessions (if more than one)
- Post-completion retain candidates' work securely until the closing date for EAR's. In the event that an enquiry has been submitted, retain the candidates work until the outcome of the enquiry/appeal is finalised
- Ask the SENCo and the Exams Officer for any assistance required for the administration and management of access arrangements

Exams Officer

 Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task taking

In accordance with JCQ regulations, invigilators and JCQ posters are NOT required

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable).
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in the Exams Policy and informs the Exams Manager.
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Understands that if during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the AO will set the marks awarded by the Centre to zero.

Presentation of Work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and backup of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up-to-date archive of candidates' work is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure security of the data stored

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the Exams Officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component
- Arranges timetabling and rooming where this is applicable to any externally assessed nonexamination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations
- Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Where candidates' work must be dispatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Dispatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of Centre and Exams Manager

Ensures where a member of staff has a Conflict of Interest, that it is declared to the AO and the
marked work of the child concerned is submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the sample or
not.

Subject Head/Lead

• Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Attends AO training as required to ensure familiarity with marking scheme and process
- Annotates candidates' work as required to provide evidence to indicate how and why marks have been awarded to facilitate the standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - o carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - o after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - o making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested.
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body.

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline.
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - o proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place in liaison with the IT Manager
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc).

Exams Manager

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation – the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work.
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work.
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking.

External moderation – feedback

Subject head/lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published.
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series.

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff.
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration.

External moderation – feedback

Subject head/lead

• Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series.

Exams Officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff.
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

• Works with the SENCo/Exams Officer to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable</u>
 Adjustments
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role.

Special consideration

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - is absent
 - produces a reduced quantity of work
 - work has been lost
- Liaises with the Exams Manager when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration process</u>
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale

Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies</u> and Procedures

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u> and what constitutes malpractice in NEA's.
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice to the Head of Centre.

Exams Officer

• Where required, support the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice

Post Result Services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Subject head/lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of Centre

Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register
annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all
candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language
endorsement.

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed.
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers.
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria.
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided.

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood.
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions.
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria.
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes.
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings.

Exams Officer

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings.

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	EO IT Dept
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Head of Centre/HOF

Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Subject teacher
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	EO/HOF
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between	
given to candidates undertaking new specification	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new specifications Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	HOF/EO
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course	HOF/Subject teacher
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	HOF/EO
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	HOF/EO
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	HOF/EO
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	IT/Site Team/HOF
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	Head of Centre
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Teacher/HOF/ EO/Head of Centre
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCO/EO

Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and signoff to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	HOF/EO/Head of Centre
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	HOF/EO/Head of Centre
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Head of Centre/EO
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Teacher/HOF
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Teacher/HOF
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	HOF/Teacher
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	EO
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	EO

Resources		
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and	Subject teacher
and resources between formally	kept secure between formally supervised sessions	IT Dept
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in	
	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates	
	is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
acknowledge sources on work	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including	
that is submitted for assessment	books, websites and audio/visual resources	Subject Teacher
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		T
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked	
awarding body for exceeding	to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	Subject
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged	teacher/Head of
	from exceeding them	Centre/EO
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	
	word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work		T
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked	
groups where the awarding	to determine if group work is permitted	Subject
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	teacher/Head
not permitted	unresolved	of Centre/HOF
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	
submitted by a candidate for	work	Subject
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current	teacher/HOF/
	JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination	Head of Centre/
Candidate plagiarises other	assessments	EO
material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to	
	do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments	
	as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
	examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current	Subject teacher
authentication	JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination	EO
statement/declaration	assessments	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to	
	comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a	
	candidate for formal assessment	
		HOF
Subject teacher not available to	I Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign	···
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as	
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as	
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
sign authentication forms	authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as	Subject
sign authentication forms Presentation of work	authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Subject teacher/HOF
Presentation of work Candidate does not fully	authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	
Presentation of work Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's	authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	

Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	Subject Teacher/HOF
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Subject teacher/HOF/ EO
Task marking – externally assess	sed components	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Subject teacher/ EO
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject teacher
Task marking – internally assess	ed components	
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Subject teacher
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Subject teacher EO
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Subject teacher EO
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Subject teacher EO Head of Centre HOF
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Head of Centre
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Head of Centre EO
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Head of Centre EO HOF

A candidate wishes to appeal	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for	Head of Centre
the marks awarded for their	their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body	HOF
work by their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to	
	the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of	
	marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware	
	of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for	
	submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the	
	awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at	Subject teacher
formal assessment not met by	the start of the course	
candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought	
	to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing	
	the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be	
	accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the	
	awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each	HOF
and samples of candidates work	academic year	Head of Centre
ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as	EO
	deadlines approach	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject	
	teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended	HOF
absence during the marking period	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Head of Centre